-> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$ECRMB,A,0.000,L,001,002,5807.071,N,01143.815,E,1.512,359.136,0.000,V\*1FII -> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$ECRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.858,E,0.000,360.000,281212,0.200,E\*60II 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$GPRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.860,E,000.0,360.0,281212,000.2,E\*7AII -> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$GPRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.860,E,000.0,360.0,281212,000.2,E\*7AII -> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$GPRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.860,E,000.0,360.0,281212,000.2,E\*7AII -> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$GPRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.860,E,000.0,360.0,281212,000.2,E\*7AII -> 13:40:07 (Serial:COM11) \$GPRMC,124007,A,5805.563,N,01143.860,E,000.0,360.0,281212,000.2,E\*7AII

Test to send sentences to the Autopilot. A "X" indicates that the sentence is sent .

Test		ECRMB	ECRMC	GPRMC	ECRMC & GPRMC	Result
	1	Х				OK
	2	Х		Х		OK
	3	Х	Х			NO
	4	Х			Х	NO
	5	Х		Х		OK

Conclusion

When the ECRMC sentence is present the Autopilot don't understand and fails out

Test 1 to 4 are the "old 11 fields" protocoll and should be fine for this old autopilot.

> The only visible diference between ECRMC and GPRMC is in field 10 where ECRMC has one leading zero (0.2) and GPRMC all three leading zero (000.2).

Test 5 The possible fail to understand the new RMC with the co-presence of a GPRMC with the new format including a validation code in field 12 was tested. No problem - OK. (I fetched that newer format GPRMC from the AIS, the old format is from the GPS)

-> 14:20:23 [Serial:COM11] \$GPRMC,132022.00,A,5805.55928,N,01143.86478,E,0.013,,281212,,,A\*71II